Fusion of Multistatic Synthetic Aperture Radar Data to obtain a Superresolution Image

> Ali Mohammad-Djafari¹, Sha Zhu¹, Franck Daout¹ and Philippe Fargette³

¹ Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes (UMR 8506 CNRS - SUPELEC - Univ Paris Sud 11) Supélec, Plateau de Moulon, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, FRANCE. {djafari,zhu}@lss.supelec.fr

² SATIE, ENS Cachan, Université Paris 10, France fdaout@u-paris10.fr

> ³ DEMR, ONERA, Palaiseau, France philippe.fargette@onera.fr

WIO 2009, 19-24 July, 2009, Paris, France

Summary

- Introduction to SAR imaging
- Monostatic, Bistatic and Multistatic SAR imaging
- ► Forward modeling as a Fourier Synthesis inverse problem
- Classical inversion methods
 - Inverse Fourier Transform
 - Gerchberg-Papoulis
 - Least square and deterministic regularization
- Bayesian estimation approach
- Proposed method of joint data fusion and super-resolution reconstruction
- Simulation and experimental data results
- Conclusions and Discussions

Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imaging

Point sources:

$$s(t) = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} f(m, n) p(t - \tau_{m, n})$$

Continuous case:

$$s(t) = \iint f(x,y) \, p(t-\tau(x,y)) \; \mathrm{d}x \; \mathrm{d}y$$

SAR imaging with a set of transmitter/receivers along the axis u:

$$\begin{split} s(t,u) &= \iint f(x,y) \, p(t-\tau(x,y,u)) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \\ \mathbf{k} &= \left[\begin{array}{c} k_x \\ k_y \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} k\cos(\theta) \\ k\sin(\theta) \end{array} \right] \qquad |\mathbf{k}| = k = \omega/c \\ \\ s(\omega,u,\theta(u)) &= P(\omega) \iint f(x,y) \, \exp\left\{-j\omega\tau(x,y,\theta(u))\right\} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= 1 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2$$

Monostatic, Bistatic and Multstatic cases

Mono-static case (same transmitter-receivers)

$$s(t, u(\theta)) = \iint f(x, y) p(t - \tau(x, y, u(\theta))) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\tau(x, y, u(\theta)) = \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{x^2 + (y - u)^2} = \frac{2}{\omega}(k_x x + k_y(y - u))$$



$$k_x = k \, \cos(\theta) \\ k_y = k \, \sin(\theta)$$

Bistatic and Multstatic cases

- Bistatic case (one transmitter, many receivers)
- Multistatic case (one transmitter, many receivers)

$$s(t,u) = \iint f(x,y) \, p(t-\tau_{tc}(x,y)-\tau_{rc}(x,y,u(\theta))) \; \mathrm{d}x \; \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\tau_{tc} + \tau_{cr} = \frac{2}{\omega} (k_x x + k_y (y - u))$$



$$k_x = k \left(\cos(\theta_{tc}) + \cos(\theta_{cr}) \right)$$

$$k_y = k \left(\sin(\theta_{tc}) + \sin(\theta_{cr}) \right)$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

 $s(\omega, u, \theta(u)) = P(\omega) \iint f(x, y) \exp \left\{-j(k_x x + k_y y)\right\} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$

Monostatic, Bistatic and Multstatic cases

$$s(\omega, u, \theta(u)) = P(\omega) \iint f(x, y) \exp \left\{-j(k_x x + k_y y)\right\} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$





・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Results on experimental data (2 bands fusion)



Conclusions and Perspectives

- Bayesian estimation framework is an appropriate one for handeling inverse problems and in particular Fusion and inversion of SAR imaging data
- Proposed method shows good results both on simulated and experimental data
- For experimental data, we still need to account for polarisation information
- Present forward modeling assumes a scene with point sources
- More accurate forward models are needed for accounting for real sources