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Inverse problems

\[ H \left( y, x, z, e \right) = 0 \]

Explicit relation: \( y = H(x, z, e) \)

Output error model: \( y = H(x, z) \circ e \)

Additive error model: \( y = H(x, z) + e \)

Relation between \( x \) and \( z \):
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Nonlinear + additive errors:} & \quad y = h(x) + e \quad \text{or} \quad y_i = h_i(x) + e_i \\
\text{Linear + additive errors:} & \quad y = Hx + e \quad \text{or} \quad y_i = \sum_{j} h_{ij} x_j + e_i
\end{align*}
\]

Examples of Linear inverse problems

\[ g(s_i) = \int f(r) h(r, s_i) \, dr + n(s_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, M \]

- Noise removing & Interpolation: \( g(r_i) = f(r) + n(r_i) \)
- Deconvolution: \( g(r_i) = \int f(r') h(r_i - r') \, dr' + n(r_i) \)
- Image restoration:
  \[
  g(x_i, y_j) = \iint f(x', y') h(x_i - x', y_j - y') \, dx' \, dy' + n(x_i, y_j)
  \]
- Image reconstruction:
  \[
  g(r_i, \phi_j) = \iint f(x, y) \delta(r_i - x \cos \phi - y \sin \phi) \, dx \, dy + n(r_i, \phi_j)
  \]
- Fourier synthesis:
  \[
  g(\Omega_i, \phi_j) = \iint f(x, y) \exp[j(x \Omega_i \cos \phi_j + y \Omega_i \sin \phi_j)] \, dx \, dy + n(\Omega_i, \phi_j)
  \]
Deconvolution

\[ g(t) = h(t) \ast f(t) + n(t) \]

Observation model

Image restoration

\[ g(x, y) = f(x, y) \ast h(x, y) + n(x, y) \]

Observation model
**X ray computed tomography**

\[ f(x, y) \rightarrow \text{TR} \rightarrow p(r, \phi) \]

\[ p(r, \phi) = \int_{L_{c, \phi}} f(x, y) \, dl \]

\[ p(r, \phi) = \iint_{D} f(x, y) \delta(r - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \, dx \, dy \]

\[ \Rightarrow \]

**Fourier synthesis in radioastronomy imaging**

\[ g(u, v) = \iint_{D} f(x, y) \exp[-j(ux + vy)] \, dx \, dy + b(u, v), \]

\[ \Rightarrow \]
Inverse scattering and diffraction tomography

Data: diffracted field \( \phi_d(r_i) \)

Unknown quantity: \( x(r) = k_0^2(n^2(r) - 1) \)

Intermediate unknown: \( \phi(r) \)

\[
\phi_d(r_i) = \iint_D G_m(r_i, r') \phi(r') x(r') \, dr', \quad r_i \in S
\]

\[
\phi(r) = \phi_0(r) + \iint_D G_o(r, r') \phi(r') x(r') \, dr', \quad r \in D
\]

In discrete form:

\[
\begin{cases}
\phi_d = G_M X \phi \\
\phi = \phi_0 + G_O X \phi
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\begin{cases}
\phi_d = G_M X (I - G_O X)^{-1} \phi_0, \quad X = \text{diag}(x) \\
\phi_d = H(x) \text{ with } H(x) = G_M X (I - G_O X)^{-1} \phi_0
\end{cases}
\]

Bayesian estimation approach

- Data observation and noise models: \( \rightarrow p(y|x; \beta) \)
- Prior information on \( x \): \( \rightarrow p(x|\alpha) \)
- Bayes:

\[
\pi(x|y; \beta, \alpha) = \frac{p(y|x; \beta) p(x|\alpha)}{m(y; \beta, \alpha)}
\]

where \( m(y; \beta, \alpha) = \int p(y|x; \beta) p(x|\alpha) \, dx \)

- Inference or estimation rule: cost function \( c(x, \hat{x}) \)

\[
\hat{x}(y; \beta, \alpha) = \arg\min_z \left\{ \int c(x, z) \pi(x|y; \beta, \alpha) \, dx \right\}
\]

Example:

Maximum A Posteriori (MAP):

\[
\hat{x} = \arg\min_x \{ -\ln \pi(x|y; \beta, \alpha) \} = \arg\min_x \{ -\ln p(y|x; \beta) - \ln p(x|\alpha) \}
\]
Point Estimators:

- Maximum a posteriori (MAP):
  \[ c(x, \hat{x}) = 1 - \delta(x - \hat{x}) \rightarrow \hat{x} = \arg \max_x \{ \pi(x|y; \alpha, \beta) \} \]

- Posterior mean (PM):
  \[ c(x, \hat{x}) = [x - \hat{x}]^t Q [x - \hat{x}]^t \rightarrow \hat{x} = \mathbb{E}_{x|y} \{ x \} = \int x \pi(x|y; \alpha, \beta) \, dx \]

- Marginal MAP (MMAP):
  \[ c(x, \hat{x}) = \prod_i 1 - \delta(x_i - \hat{x}_i) \rightarrow \hat{x}_i = \arg \max_{x_i} \{ \pi_i(x_i|y; \alpha, \beta) \}, \]
  where
  \[ \pi_i(x_i|y; \alpha, \beta) = \int p_{x|y}(x|y; \alpha) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_{i-1} \, dx_{i+1} \cdots dx_n \]

---

Case of linear inverse problems

\[ y = Hx + e \]

- Hypothesis on noise: \( e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/\beta I) \rightarrow y|x \sim \mathcal{N}(Hx, 1/\beta I) \)
  \[ p(y|x, \beta) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \beta \| y - Hx \|^2 \right] \]

- Hypothesis on \( x \): \( x \sim \mathcal{N}(x_0, 1/\alpha P_0) \)
  \[ p(x|\alpha) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \alpha \| x - x_0 \|^t P_0^{-1} [x - x_0] \right] \]

- Posterior \( \pi(x|y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}, \hat{P}) \) with
  \[ \hat{x} = \hat{P} H^t (y - H x_0), \quad \hat{P} = (H^t H + \lambda P_0^{-1})^{-1}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \]

- MAP estimate:
  \[ \hat{x} = \arg \max_x \{ \pi(x|y) \} = \arg \min_x \{ J(x) = Q(x) + \lambda \Phi(x) \} \] with
  \[ Q(x) = \| y - Hx \|^2, \quad \Phi(x) = x^t P_0^{-1} x = \| Dx \|^2, \quad \lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \]
\[ J(\mathbf{x}) = \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Hx} \|^2 + \lambda \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \]

- Gamma law hypothesis for \( \mathbf{x} \):
  \[ p(x_j) \propto (x_j/m_j)^\alpha \exp[-x_j/m_j] \Rightarrow \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \sum_j \ln \frac{x_j}{m_j} + \frac{x_j}{m_j} \]

- Beta law hypothesis for \( \mathbf{x} \):
  \[ p(x_j) \propto x_j^\alpha (1-x_j)^{1-\alpha} \Rightarrow \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \sum_j \ln x_j + (1-\alpha) \sum_j \ln (1-x_j) \]

- Generalized Gaussian laws for \( \mathbf{x} \):
  \[ p(x_j) \propto \exp[-\alpha |x_j|^p], \quad 1 < p < 2 \Rightarrow \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \sum_j |x_j|^p \]

- Markovian models for \( \mathbf{x} \):
  \[ p(x_j|\mathbf{x}) \propto \exp \left[ -\alpha \sum_{i \in N_j} \phi(x_j, x_i) \right] \Rightarrow \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \sum_j \sum_{i \in N_j} \phi(x_j, x_i) \]

**General MAP estimate:**

\[ \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \text{arg} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \{ J(\mathbf{x}) \} \quad \text{with} \quad J(\mathbf{x}) = \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Hx} \|^2 + \lambda \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \]

- Gaussian laws: \( \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \) quadratic
  \[ \Rightarrow J(\mathbf{x}) \text{ quadratic} \Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}} \text{ linear function of } \mathbf{y} \]

- Entropic laws: \( \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \) convex and separable: \( \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j \phi_\alpha(x_j) \)
  with \( \phi_\alpha(x_j) = \{ \alpha x_j^p, \alpha x_j \ln x_j - x_j, \alpha (\ln x_j - x_j) \} \)
  \[ \Rightarrow J(\mathbf{x}) \text{ convex} \Rightarrow \text{Nonlinear estimators but easy to calculate} \]

- Markovian models: \( \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j \sum_{i \in N_j} \phi_\alpha(x_j - x_i) \) with \( \phi_\alpha(t) = \)
  \[ \begin{cases} |t|^2 & \text{if } |t| < \alpha, \\ \alpha^2 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} t^2 & \text{if } |t| < \alpha, \\ \frac{\alpha^2 t^2}{1 + t^2} & \text{else,} \end{cases} \]

\( \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \) non convex \[ \Rightarrow \text{Nonlinear estimator and need of global optimization} \]
Open problems

- How to choose $p(x|\alpha)$
  In the case of markovian models how to choose the potential functions
  $\rightarrow$ Scale invariance  (Djafari93, Brette et al. 93, 94)

- How to determine the hyperparameters $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$
  $\rightarrow$ GMAP, MAPM, EM, SEM, ... (Djafari 95, Idier 94)

- Which estimator: MAP, PM ou MMAP
  $\rightarrow$ Depending more on the calculation cost

- How to calculate effectively the solution $\hat{x}(y;\alpha,\beta)$
  $\rightarrow$ Optimization algorithms:
  - Simulated annealing,
  - Deterministic relaxation (GNC)  (Nikolova, Idier, Djafari 95)

Graduated non convexity (GNC)

Main idea:

MAP solution:

$\hat{x} = \arg\max_{x} \{\pi(x|y)\} = \arg\min_{x} \{J(x)\}$

$J(x)$ multimodal

- Define a set of criteria $J_c(x)$ such that:
  - $J_{c_0}(x)$ be convex
  - $\forall x$  $\lim_{c \to c_\infty} J_c(x) = J(x)$

- Minimize $J_{c_0}(x)$ to obtain $x_0$

- For  $c = \{c_1, \ldots, c_\infty\}$
  minimize locally $J_c(x)$ in the neighborhood of the precedent solution.
Linear inverse problems with piecewise Gaussian priors

\[ y = Ax + b \]
\[ J(x) = \|y - Ax\|^2 + \Omega(x) \]
with
\[ \Omega(x) = \sum_j \phi(t_j), \quad t_j = x_j - x_{j-1} \]

\[ \phi(t) = \begin{cases} (\lambda t)^2 & \text{if } |t| < T \\ \alpha & \text{if } |t| > T \end{cases} \]
\[ \alpha = (\lambda T)^2 \]

\[ J_c(x) = \|y - Ax\|^2 + \Omega_c(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_c(x) = \sum_j \phi_c(t_j) \]

Originally developed for noise filtering and segmentation \( A = I \)
by Balke & Zisserman 1987

\[ J_c(x) = \|y - Ax\|^2 + \Omega_c(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_c(x) = \sum_j \phi_c(t_j) \]

\[ \phi_c(t) = \begin{cases} (\lambda t)^2 & \text{if } |t| < q_c \\ \alpha - \frac{1}{2} c(|t| - r_c)^2 & \text{if } q_c > |t| > r_c \\ \alpha & \text{if } |t| > r_c \end{cases} \]

\[ \{ q_c = T(1 + 2\lambda^2/c)^{-1/2} , \quad r_c = T(1 + 2\lambda^2/c)^{1/2} \} \]

\[ \hat{x}_{ck} = \arg \min_{x \in V(x_{ck-1})} \{ J_{ck}(x) \} \]
Extensions to general linear inverse problems
Initial convexity:

\[ J_c(x) = \| y - Ax \|^2 + \Omega_c(x) \]

- If \( A^tA \) is full-rank \( \rightarrow \{ J_c(x) \} \) has convex elements
  - \( \mu_{min} \) smallest eigenvalue of \( A^tA \)
  - \( \nu_{max} \) largest eigenvalue of \( D^tD \)
  - \( J_c(x) \) is convex if \( c < 2\mu_{min}/\nu_{max} \)

- If \( A^tA \) is singular
  (which is almost the case in Inverse problems)
  then \( \{ J_c(x) \} \) may not have any convex elements

\[ J_c(x) = \| y - Ax \|^2 + \Omega_{a,c}(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_{a,c}(x) = \sum_j [\phi_c(t_j) + at_j^2] \]

Double relaxation scheme:

for fixed \( c = c_0 \) and for \( a = a_0, \ldots, 0 \) do:

\[ \hat{x}_{ak} = \arg \min_{x \in V(\hat{x}_{ak-1})} \{ J_{ak,c_0}(x) \} \]

for fixed \( a = 0 \) and for \( c = c_0, \ldots, \infty \) do:

\[ \hat{x}_{ck} = \arg \min_{x \in V(\hat{x}_{ck-1})} \{ J_{ck,0}(x) \} \]

Initial convexity is insured for \( a_0 > \frac{c_0}{2} \).
Link with compound Markov modeling

\[
(\hat{x}, \hat{l}) = \arg\max_{(x,l)} \{- \log p(x,l|y)\}
\]

with the following prior laws:

\[
- \log p(y|x) = \|y - Ax\|^2
\]

\[
- \log p(x|l) = \sum_{j} \phi(t_j)(1 - l_j),
\]

\[
t_j = x_j - x_{j-1}, \quad \phi(t) = (\lambda t)^2
\]

\[
- \log p(l) = \alpha \sum_{j} l_j
\]

\[
(\hat{x}, \hat{l}) = \arg \min_{(x,l)} \{J(x,l)\}
\]

with

\[
J(x,l) = \|y - Ax\|^2 + \sum_{j} [\lambda^2(x_j - x_{j-1})^2(1 - l_j) + \alpha l_j].
\]

Line variables \(l_j\) are assumed non-interacting

The solution \((\hat{x}, \hat{l})\) is obtained equivalently by

\[
\hat{x} = \arg\min_{x} \left\{ J(x) = \|y - Ax\|^2 + \sum_{j} \phi(x_j - x_{j-1}) \right\}
\]

\[
\hat{l}_j = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } |\hat{x}_j - \hat{x}_{j-1}| > T \\
0 & \text{if } |\hat{x}_j - \hat{x}_{j-1}| < T
\end{cases}
\]

with \(\phi(t)\) the truncated quadratic function.

In 2D case:

\[
\sum_{ij} \phi(x_{i,j} - x_{i,j-1}) + \phi(x_{i,j} - x_{i-1,j})
\]
Nonlinear inverse scattering
Diffraction tomography:

\[ y(r_i) = \int_D G_m(r_i, r')\phi(r')x(r')\,dr', \quad r_i \in S \]
\[ \phi(r) = \phi_0(r) + \int_D G_o(r, r')\phi(r')x(r')\,dr', \quad r \in D \]

The discretized version:
\[ y = G_m X \phi + b \]
\[ \phi = \phi_0 + G_o X \phi \]
\[ y = G_m X (I - G_o X)^{-1} \phi_0 \]

\[ y = A(x) + b \quad \text{with} \quad A(x) = G_m X (I - G_o X)^{-1} \phi_0 \]

\[ J(x) = \|y - A(x)\|^2 + \Omega(x) \]

Proposed GNC scheme:
\[ A_{c_k}(x) = G_m X (I - c_k G_o X)^{-1} \phi_0 \]

with \( c_0 = 0 \), and \( \lim_{k \to \infty} c_k = 1 \).

\( c_0 = 0 \):
\[ A_0(x) = G_m X \phi_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad J_0(x) = \|y - G_m X \phi_0\|^2 + \Omega(x). \]
(Born approximation)

\( c_k = 1 \):
\[ A_1(x) = A(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad J_1(x) = J(x) \]
1-D noise filtering:

a) Original and data, b) Piecewise Gaussian with GNC.

1-D signal deconvolution:

a) Original and data b) Piecewise Gaussian with GNC.
Image restoration:

a) original, b) data, c) Gaussian, d) Piecewise Gaussian and GNC

Image reconstruction in X-ray tomography:

a) original, b) projections (data), c) Backprojection, d) Gaussian, e) Gamma prior, f) GNC reconstruction
Inverse scattering and diffraction tomography:

\[ \text{Diffraction tomography image reconstruction:} \]
\[ \text{a) original,} \]
\[ \text{b) linear Born approximation reconstruction,} \]
\[ \text{c) GNC based reconstruction} \]

Conclusions

- The Bayesian maximum \textit{a posteriori} (MAP) estimates requires the minimization of a compound criterion.

- In many situations in inverse problems the criterion to be minimized is multimodal.

- The cost of the Simulated Annealing (SA) based techniques is in general huge for these problems.

- Graduated Non Convexity (GNC) has given satisfaction in two areas:
  - The linear inverse problems such as: noise filtering, deconvolution, image restoration, tomographic image reconstruction,.. 
  - A nonlinear inverse inverse scattering and diffraction tomography.